PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 2 3 FEB 2005

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| A - I' II II - CI C | | · | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference 62643A. | FOR FURTHER A | CTION | See Form PCT/IPEA/416 | | | | |
| International application No. PCT/US2004/009972 | International filing date 01.04.2004 | (day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) 02.04.2003 | | | | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or n C08G75/02, C08L65/00, C08L81/00 | | | | | | | |
| Applicant DOW GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES INC. et al. | | | | | | | |
| Authority under Article 35 and tra | nsmitted to the applica | nt according to Article 3 | is International Preliminary Examining 6. | | | | |
| 2. This REPORT consists of a total | of 6 sheets, including | his cover sheet. | | | | | |
| 3. This report is also accompanied b | y ANNEXES, comprisi | ng: | | | | | |
| a. 🛘 sent to the applicant and t | o the International Bure | eau) a total of sheets, a | as follows: | | | | |
| sheets of the descripti and/or sheets containi | | | | | | | |
| sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. | | | | | | | |
| b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). | | | | | | | |
| 4. This report contains indications re | lating to the following i | tems: | | | | | |
| 🖾 Box No. I 🛮 Basis of the opin | ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion | | | | | | |
| ☐ Box No. II Priority | | | | | | | |
| ☑ Box No. III Non-establishm | ent of opinion with rega | ard to novelty, inventive | step and industrial applicability | | | | |
| Box No. IV Lack of unity of | invention | | • | | | | |
| applicability; cita | Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | | | |
| ☐ Box No. VI <u>Certain</u> do <u>cume</u> | | | | | | | |
| _ | in the international app | | | | | | |
| ☑ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application | | | | | | | |
| Date of submission of the demand | | Date of completion of thi | s report | | | | |
| 13.10.2004 | | 21.02.2005 | i | | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the internations preliminary examining authority: | al | Authorized Officer | and Pitters. | | | | |
| European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 | 56 epmu d | Glomm, B Telephone No. +49 89 2 | 399-7158 | | | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/009972

| _ | | | | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|--|
| _ | Во | x No. I Basis of the report | | | |
| 1. | Wit file | h regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was d, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | | |
| | | This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) | | | |
| 2. | 2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report): | | | | |
| | Des | cription, Pages | | | |
| | 1-34 | as originally filed | | | |
| | Claims, Numbers | | | | |
| | 1-8 | as originally filed | | | |
| • | □. | a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing | | | |
| 3. | | The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): | | | |
| 4. | had | This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the plemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). I the description, pages I the claims, Nos. I the drawings, sheets/figs I the sequence listing (specify): I any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): | | | |
| | <u>*_</u> | If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded." | | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.-PCT/US2004/009972

| | | x No. III Non-establishment o Dicability | of op | inion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial | | |
|----|-------------|--|------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. | The | ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | the entire international application, | | | | |
| | | claims Nos. | | | | |
| | | because: | | . . | | |
| | . □ | the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify): | | | | |
| | × | the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. Present main claim 1 (lines 5 to 7) lacks clarity. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): | | | | |
| | | see separate sheet | | • | | |
| | | the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. | | | | |
| | | no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. | | | | |
| | | the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that: | | | | |
| | | the written form | | has not been furnished | | |
| | | | | does not comply with the standard | | |
| | | the computer readable form | | has not been furnished | | |
| | | | | does not comply with the standard | | |
| | | the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re | tide a equire | and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. | | |
| | | See separate sheet for further | detai | ds . | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/009972

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1-8

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-8

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-8

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Cited documents:

- D1: US-B-6 359 0911 (GODSCHALX JAMES P ET AL) 19 March 2002 (2002-03-19)
- D2: EP-A-0 203 438 (ALLIED CORP) 3 December 1986 (1986-12-03)
- D3: US-B-6 172 1281 (LEUNG ROGER ET AL) 9 January 2001 (2001-01-09)
- D4: WO 00/31183 A (DOW CHEMICAL CO) 2 June 2000 (2000-06-02)

1. Novelty (Art. 33 (2) PCT)

Each of cited documents D1 to D4 discloses a compound comprising (i) three or more dienophile groups and (ii) a single ring structure comprising two conjugated carbon-to-carbon double bonds and a leaving group as specified in detail in present independent main claim 1 (for relevant passages, see the corresponding International Search Report).

The attention of the applicant is drawn especially to the fact, that the parameter as specified in present independent main claim 1 in lines 5 to 7 appears to be implicitly disclosed by each of said documents D1 to D4 in view of the principles as pointed out in the decisions T 444/88 and G 1/92 by the boards of appeal of the EPO.

Consequently, each of said documents D1 to D4 anticipates the subject matter of present claim 1.

The same considerations also relate to the additional features of the following claims 2 to 8 when taking into account the full disclosure of each of said documents D1 to D4.

PCT/US2004/009972

Therefore the subject matter of present application is not new in view of the disclosure of each of said documents D1 to D4.

2. Inventive Step (Art. 33 (3) PCT)

Providing an amended main claim which meets the requirements of Art. 33 (2) PCT, the applicant should relate the distinguishing feature to a surprising (unexpected) technical effect or make credible or plausible that the distinguishing feature is not derivable from the prior art teaching (Art. 33 (3) PCT).

3. Miscellaneous

The obscure parameter as specified in lines 5 to 7 of present main claim 1 appears to attempt a definition of the subject matter to be protected by means of the corresponding results to be achieved, rather than by means of clear and unambiguous technical features, such violating the Art. 6 PCT. The applicant therefore is invited to replace said objected terms by clear and unambiguous technical features based on suitable subclaims or relevant passages taken from the present description.

In order to improve the understanding and legibility of the application, the document D2 should be identified in the description additionally and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.

When filing amendments, any undue extension of the scope of the application should be avoided.